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Abstract

Introduced pathogens causing emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) are serious contemporary threats to animal, plant, and ecosystem health. The invasive fungus, *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, has established populations of European origin in North America, resulting in mass mortality of several hibernating bat species. Extensive monitoring for this pathogen exists in Europe and North America, but limited screening is taking place elsewhere. We report results from cave surveys on Hawaiʻi Island. Substrates in 10 lava-tube caves with elevations up to 3,045 m were swabbed providing samples for screening *P. destructans*. Interior cave air temperatures spanned temperatures suitable for the growth and survival of *P. destructans*. Using quantitative PCR, all 85 samples tested were negative for the presence of *P. destructans*. The biology of the Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*) in relation to its unusual use of high elevation caves is discussed because these bats could come into contact with *P. destructans* should it arrive in Hawaiʻi. Large numbers of cave enthusiasts visit Hawaiian caves from across the world after having been inside caves elsewhere including areas with *P. destructans*. Thus, resource managers in Hawaiʻi and other remote areas may want to consider the potential for *P. destructans* to arrive unintentionally via human activities. Biosecurity measures and periodic screening for *P. destructans* are especially important in Hawaiʻi given the presence of high elevation caves with suitable temperatures for its growth. If *P. destructans* was introduced to Hawaiian caves, it could affect the local fauna but also act as a source population for colonisations elsewhere.
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Introduction

One of the consequences of human activities on Earth is the invasion of new species into previously unoccupied areas, which is considered the second most important cause of biodiversity loss after habitat destruction (Vitousek et al. 1997). The introduction of non-native pathogens falls into this category. These pathogens can cause emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) which are among the most serious contemporary threats to wildlife and have the potential to cause mass mortalities and local extinctions (e.g. Daszak et al. 2000, De Castro and Bolker 2005). Global movements of humans certainly have facilitated this process over the past 40,000 years (Roques et al. 2016, Bertelsmeier et al. 2017, Dyer et al. 2017, Smith et al. 2017). The rates at which EIDs have spread, as well as their severity and geographical range, have increased significantly in recent decades, a phenomenon known as “pathogen pollution” (Cunningham et al. 2003). This trend is especially valid for fungal infections, which have caused 65% of the documented disease-driven species extinctions between 1995 and 2010 (Fisher et al. 2012). For example, the most harmful alien pathogens recorded recently in Hawai‘i are fungal blights belonging to the Ceratocystis genus that are currently threatening entire forests of Hawai‘i’s most ecologically important tree species, ‘ōhi’a (Metrosideros polymorpha). Known as Rapid ‘ōhi’a Death (ROD), the corresponding disease can kill a tree within weeks, by settling in the sapwood and blocking the water supply from the roots. The impacts of forest loss could be enormous, with the potential to damage entire native ecosystems, to affect native bird and insect species which are dependent on ‘ōhi’a as a food source and a habitat, and even to disrupt watershed processes that deliver fresh water (Stone 2017). Recent research by Keith et al. (2018) revealed two species, C. lucoohia and C. huliohia, specific to M. polymorpha but belonging to different phylogenetic lineages, as causative agents of ROD. Microsatellite analysis
demonstrated that both are clonal which implies recent introductions but the exact origin of the pathogens remains unknown.

The role of emerging mycoses in the Animal Kingdom is also increasingly recognised, mainly due to several unprecedented zoonoses, such as chytridiomycosis in amphibians and White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) in hibernating bats (Fisher et al. 2016). The fungal pathogen *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, causative agent of WNS, was introduced to North America from Eurasia and led to one of the greatest recorded die-offs of mammals in modern history (Puechmaille et al. 2011a, Frick et al. 2015, Leopardi et al. 2015, Drees et al. 2017). Typical symptoms of WNS are white fungal growth on the muzzle, ears, and wings, abnormal winter activity (Reeder and Turner 2008, Veilleux 2008, Turner and Reeder 2009), disrupted water balance, and premature depletion of fat reserves (Cryan et al. 2010). *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* possesses all the key biological features that make fungal pathogens so deadly. These are: 1) high virulence – *P. destructans* led to 30 to 99% decreases in affected bat populations in the first few years after its emergence (Puechmaille et al. 2011a, Frick et al. 2015); 2) long-lived environmental stages – *P. destructans* can feed saprotrophically and successfully survive in cave substrates even without bats being present, making caves an environmental reservoir (Puechmaille et al. 2011b, Raudabaugh and Miller 2013, Reynolds et. al. 2015); 3) large numbers of host species, including those that are tolerant to the pathogen (USFWS 2017) and can spread the pathogen further, introducing it to new susceptible host populations.

*Pseudogymnoascus destructans* is native to Europe and Asia, where it is widely distributed but not associated with mass morbidity or mortality (Puechmaille et al. 2010, Puechmaille et al. 2011b, Zukal et al. 2016, Fritze and Puechmaille 2018, Kovacova et al. 2018). The fungus was introduced, most probably by humans, from Europe to North America (Leopardi et al. 2015, Drees et al. 2017). This emphasizes the need for broader awareness and stricter
control regarding the transport of biological material including un-intentional transport (Fisher et al. 2012). Following the initial introduction in New York State, the fungus has expanded to 7 Canadian provinces and 33 US states as of summer 2018. The southernmost point where it has been detected is in the State of Texas (31.96° N, 99.90° W), and the westernmost point is in the State of Washington (47.49° N, 121.78° W) (USFWS 2018). Although the exact mechanisms of *P. destructans* spread have not been studied in detail, it seems clear that bats are largely contributing to the spread but long-distance dispersal (such as the case in Washington State) are suspected to be human-mediated (Petit and Puechmaille 2015, Lorch et al. 2016). As communicable wildlife diseases are practically impossible to stop once they emerge (Fisher et al. 2012), it is of utmost importance to know the distribution of the causative pathogen. Indeed, this information is needed to set up measures and monitoring programs to prevent the introduction from regions with pathogen presence (either native or introduced) to new regions. Given that many invasions could have originated from a successful invasive population rather than from the native range (the Bridgehead Effect: Lombaert et al. 2010), it becomes important to monitor regions in close contact with recently invaded territories.

An example of such a place is the Hawaiian Archipelago, which is tightly linked to North America, Asia, Europe, and Australia in terms of tourism and trade. Although no reports of *P. destructans* or WNS have come from tropical zones, the high altitude lava tubes on Hawaiʻi and Maui Islands offer low temperatures that are optimal for *P. destructans* growth (Verant et al. 2012).

The Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*), known to Hawaiians as ‘Ōpe’ape’a, is the only bat species in Hawaiʻi. It usually roosts in foliage (Bonaccorso et al. 2015) but shows significant activity associated with foraging at high altitude lava tube cave entrances and internal passages, particularly during winter months (Bonaccorso et al. 2016).
Numerous bat carcasses have been found in cave interiors (up to 200 in one cave: Bonaccorso et al. 2016) of Mauna Loa Volcano which has a summit of 4,169 m. Hawaiian hoary bats often enter caves located above the cloud inversion layer presumably to feed on the large populations of *Peridroma* moth species when heavy rains do not favour foraging at lower altitudes (Bonaccorso et al. 2016). Despite extensive searching by Bonaccorso et al. (2016), there is currently no evidence to suggest that *L. cinereus semotus* is using lava tubes as hibernacula; thus, the potential risk for the Hawaiian hoary bat being impacted by WNS currently is considered low. However, while this bat only enters shallow torpor when day-roosting in its summer lowland range with body temperatures approaching 25°C (Montoya-Aiona and Moura, personal observations), the winter biology and the possibility of it entering deep torpor for prolonged periods is unknown. Also, as the fungus can persist and grow in sediments without the presence of bats (Reynolds et al. 2015), if *P. destructans* was introduced to Hawaiian caves, Hawai‘i could act as a bridgehead population for further colonizations elsewhere in the world. Thus, monitoring to detect the potential arrival of *P. destructans* in Hawai‘i is a prudent and pro-active action.

**Materials and methods**

During July and August 2015, 10 volcanic caves were visited on the Island of Hawai‘i in order to test for the presence of *P. destructans* (Fig. 1). Most of these caves were chosen based on their high elevation, which provides relatively cool temperatures suitable for the survival and growth of *P. destructans*. Six of the ten lava tubes, in the Mauna Loa Forest Reserve, demonstrated bat presence during the winter season, through acoustic surveys at the surface (Bonaccorso et al. 2016). Temperature was measured at the deepest part reached in each cave, which was always in the dark zone. Considering that caves are approximately thermally isolated
systems (Badino 2004) and that cave temperatures at more than a few hundred meters from the entrance rarely vary by more than two degrees Celsius daily or seasonally, one data point per sampling site can be considered a good approximation of mean annual temperature at that site. In the case of smaller caves, variations will be higher but as we conducted our fieldwork in the summer and during the daytime, actual mean temperatures would be lower and not higher than the one we measured. Temperatures in the Kanohina and Kazumura cave systems are at the limit of the growth range of *P. destructans*, but these sites were included because of the large number of tourists and cave enthusiasts (in the range of thousands) that visit them annually. Access for sampling in the Mauna Loa Forest Reserve was granted through a research permit from the Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources. Access to private sites was granted by the owners.

<Figure 1>

Samples were collected from cave walls, 1 to 2 meters from the floor at various distances from the entrance, and different sub-habitats (e.g. wet/dry, muddy/not muddy, lava rock/calcite crystals) were equally represented (Table 1). We chose to sample walls (and not the floor) as they are the surfaces bats would most likely come in contact with if they happen to enter the cave. Moreover, in contrast to karst caves, there is no significant amount of sediment found on lava tube floors. Sterile cotton swabs were used, and an area of 5 to 10 cm² was covered by gently rolling the swab across the surface 4 times. Individual swabs were placed in sterile 2 ml Eppendorf tubes filled with 500 µl RNAlater (Invitrogen) and the excess swab handle was broken by bending. Samples were preserved in RNAlater solution to preserve DNA/RNA and viable spores which could then be cultivated for positive samples (Puechmaille et al. 2011c, Dool et al. 2018). To avoid cross-contamination, sterile rubber gloves were worn at each sampling site,
and swab tips or sampling areas were not touched with gloves or hands. At the time of sampling, no living bats were seen in the caves.

<Table 1>

Samples were preserved at -20°C until DNA extraction. To avoid cross-contamination, all steps with open tubes were carried out under a laminar flow hood exposed to UV light before use and only one tube was open at a time. Prior to DNA extraction, the RNAlater solution was removed as recommended by the Ambion User Guide. The material was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 7,500 rpm before the RNAlater was removed by pipette without disturbing the formed pellet. The samples were then soaked for 5 minutes at room temperature in 500 µl PBS. The material was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 7,500 rpm before the PBS was removed by pipette without disturbing the pellet. The swab was turned upside down with sterile tweezers and placed back into the same tube. The sample was then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 13,000 rpm. DNA extraction was then performed by dispensing 100 µl of PrepMan ® Ultra Sample Preparation Reagent (Cat No. 4318930 from Life Technologies) into a 1.5 ml tube to which 30 µl of the sample was added (taken after pipetting the sample solution up and down until homogeneous; the remaining being saved for cultivation). The samples were then vigorously vortexed for 30 sec and then incubated in a heat block set to 100°C for 10 minutes while shaking at 1,000 rpm. Samples were removed from the heat block and allowed to cool to room temperature for 2 min. The samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 2 minutes. A volume of 50 µl of the supernatant was transferred to a new tube and subsequently used as the template in the qPCR.

Real-time PCR was performed targeting the intergenic spacer (IGS) region of *P. destructans*. The Real-time IGS PCR assay was conducted using an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) and the commercial master mix (QuantiFastTM Probe PCR + ROX Vial Kit, QIAGEN Inc.) as described in Muller et al. (2013). The 25 µl PCR reaction
contained 1x QuantiFast Probe PCR Master Mix, 1x ROX dye solution, 0.4 μM of each primer (nu-IGS-0169-5’–Gd and nu-IGS-0235-3’–Gd), 0.2 μM dual-labelled BHQ-1 probe (Sigma-Aldrich), 32 ng of bovine serum albumin to reduce potential PCR inhibition, and 5 μl template DNA. Samples that did not cross the threshold baseline before cycle 40 were considered negative. The threshold baseline was set to 10% of the maximum fluorescence as determined by positive control samples. Negative and positive controls were also run with the qPCR. Positive controls were composed of DNA extracted from previously confirmed *P. destructans* (Gd00040-a) and DNA extracted from wall swabs collected at a hibernaculum near Greifswald, Germany. One wall swab was used as a positive control as it was collected from a wall that was in contact with visibly infected bats and from which *P. destructans* was previously isolated (Dool et al. 2018). Hence this swab was used as a positive control for the full lab procedure, including DNA extraction and qPCR.

Results

*Pseudogymnoascus destructans* DNA was not detected in any of the 85 Hawaiian substrate swabs via the IGS-qPCR, hence no culture was carried out. All positive and negative controls used in the qPCR were positive and negative respectively. The caves that we sampled ranged from 240 to 3,045 m above sea level and daytime temperatures during sampling ranged from 7.0 to 21.6 °C (Table 1). At night, winter temperatures close to the entrances inside caves may fall below freezing and snow may be present on the ground outside some of the caves sampled.
Discussion

*Pseudogymnoascus destructans* was not detected in any of the wall swabs we collected from 10 caves in Hawai‘i. In previous research, Lorch et al. (2013) estimated a 98% probability of detecting *P. destructans* in a WNS-positive site in the Eastern US using just 5 qPCR samples, including during the active season and in the absence of bats, which supports the reliability of our methods. However, the absence of evidence of *P. destructans* in the caves we investigated is not an evidence for its absence on the island: the fungus may be present in lower quantities in comparison to sites where bats are more susceptible to WNS or may be present in other caves not sampled during the present study. Despite these limitations, this is the first attempt to implement any monitoring to detect the potential arrival of *P. destructans* in Hawai‘i, and can be expanded to cover a larger number of caves and a larger number of samples per site. Considering that the fungus can spread rapidly once it arrives at a certain site (Langwig et al. 2015), its early detection is crucial for the implementation of timely counter-measures.

*Pseudogymnoascus destructans* recently has crossed large geographic barriers of both sea and land, first across the Atlantic Ocean from Europe to North America (Leopardi et al. 2015) and then across large areas of the Great Plains of North America to Washington State (Lorch et al. 2016). Spread of the fungus is suspected to occur both by bats and human activities, however, the large distance invasions into previously uninfected areas have most likely been due to humans (Leopardi et al. 2015). Since the Hoary bat colonised the Hawaiian islands, no long-distance dispersals to or from North America have been reported. If such dispersals were to take place, they are only likely in the direction from North America to Hawai‘i (Bonaccorso & McGuire 2013). It is more probable that bat arrivals to Hawai‘i will occur due to human activities (e.g. unintentional translocations by air or sea, Constantine 2003). Human vectors remain the most likely of all. Given the great number of tourists that visit the Hawaiian Islands
every year according to the Hawai‘i Tourism Authority (8,679,564 for 2015: Nahoopii et al. 2015), including many caving enthusiasts, the inadvertent transport of \( P. \) destructans spores from sites in North America and/or Eurasia on contaminated clothing, shoes, or equipment is a possible means for this fungus to become established in caves in the Hawaiian Archipelago where high elevation microclimates are suitable for its persistence once present. To avoid inadvertent transport of \( P. \) destructans, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service provide a National White-nose Syndrome decontamination protocol (available at www.whitenosesyndrome.org/topics/decontamination).

As a precautionary measure against \( P. \) destructans introduction, the National Park Service in Hawai‘i Volcanoes and Haleakalā National Parks requires permits to enter most caves. These permits state the following: “\textit{Under no circumstances should clothing, footwear, or gear that was used in a White-Nose Syndrome-affected state or region be used}”. However, many lava tube caves on state and private lands, including those of the Mauna Loa Forest Reserve, are easily visible to the public but lack signage or physical barriers indicating the legal requirement for an entry permit issued by the Hawaiian Department of Land and Natural Resources. Thus, many caves in Hawai‘i may be susceptible to translocations of microbes from any part of the world including WNS areas.

Conclusions

Given the large number of caves with suitable microclimates to support \( P. \) destructans and the popularity of cave tourism and recreation, land managers and regulatory agencies in Hawai‘i may want to remain vigilant for the establishment of this fungus in cold caves and promote or require preventative measures for those entering caves (e.g. decontamination of
equipment: Shelley et al. 2013). Periodic screening for \textit{P. destructans} in Hawai’i’s cold caves to detect the potential arrival of this devastating pathogen could help to avoid further spread.
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Figure 1. Map of Hawaii Island, with major cities Hilo and Kailua (black circles), and regional locations of sampled lava tubes (solid black circles). Bottom left map depicts the Hawaiian Archipelago in relationship to North American continent. Bottom right map shows Hawaii Island in relation to other Hawaiian Islands.
Table 1: Description of sample collection sites. Listed temperatures are the lowest measured during our visits during daylight hours.

*A permit for cave entry is required from Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources. Lava tubes 1 through 5 were not sampled (“ns”) for bat activity outside entrances. Lava tubes 6 through 10, winter season bat activity as percent nights with bat calls per month, taken from Bonaccorso et al. 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lava tube</th>
<th>Samples collected</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Human activities</th>
<th>% Nights with bat calls/month ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Ocean View, Kanohina system</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>Mass tourism</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Kazumura system</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>Mass tourism</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>Kazumura system</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>Open to caving</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>Ocean View, Kanohina system</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>Restricted entry</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,188</td>
<td>Pōhakuloa Military Training Area</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Restricted entry</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,341</td>
<td>Mauna Loa Forest Reserve</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>Restricted entry*</td>
<td>42 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,341</td>
<td>Mauna Loa Forest Reserve</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Restricted entry*</td>
<td>59 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,533</td>
<td>Mauna Loa Forest Reserve</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Restricted entry*</td>
<td>54 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,725</td>
<td>Mauna Loa Forest Reserve</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>Restricted entry*</td>
<td>59 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>Mauna Loa Forest Reserve</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Restricted entry*</td>
<td>38 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>